File No

Serial Nos.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Part B for

SUBJECT

1280

Re: agricl. lands bequeathed by late Mrs. Madapusi Venkatesamma Rao Pantalu for Sri Singeri Mutt.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

[App. F. III-2

File No. of 193 5-6

Letter Roc 1611/35-36 of 8.6.1936 from the Muzrai Commissioner requesting instructions in the Matter of engaging the services of a to Sankaj Water the proceedings referred to therein on behalf of Sri Singeri Mutt as the Mahadhipathi thereof has been made a respondent in the Case specified therein.

(i) Rec'd File Muz 207-35-6 is forwarded, the File title is transferred to Law Secn for necessary action.

Gs 11/6

A Attention is invited to letter No. S-3076 dated 22nd ult. 35-36 by 1936 of the Dy. Comr., Kadur dt., in which he states that according to the judgment of the Subordinate Judge Masulipatam, the Sri Sringeri matt was entitled to get Rs 30,000 as detailed in the note of Mr. P. R. Ganapathi Iyer, Vakil advocate, Madras. If the appeal against this order preferred in the Madras High Court had been successful the matt, it is reported, would have got another Rs 30,000. Instead of this the matt got Rs 7052-4-0 under the compromise filed by Mr. N. Srikantiah Sastry, agent for the property of the matt situated outside the State.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

File No. of 193 .

General a
Secretariat

The Govt has been made a party to the appeal preferred before the Privy Council by the managers of the George Coronation Girls School and the National College. It is therefore a point for consideration whether some lawyer should be engaged to defend the interests of the Govt when the appeal is heard by the Privy Council.

11.6.36.

3) Forwarded, with compliments, to the Govt Advocate for favour of opinion.

A. Sabaratnam
for Gen' Secy. to Govt

11/6/36

G.O. No 60/35-6
dated 11-6-1936

Returned with Compliments.

4) As the Govt is not interested in opposing the application for leave to appeal to the P.C. there seems to be no necessity to engage an Advocate for the Govt for the conduct of the case. A watching brief may be given, as suggested by the Registrar's officers, to Mr. Subraya Iyer so that he may be able to furnish to the Court, during the hearing of the case, any information wanted by it.

V. Nagarajan Iyer
17.6.'36.

GENERAL SEC.
18 JUN 1936

5) Draft
dcg
9/6/1936

6) Draft submitted for approval
GS
19.6.36. (1st Member)

7) dcg
9/6/1936



V/D
19/6
Issue
dcg
24/6/36

6 Letter no 1762 d. 24.6.1936 to the Mukhtar Commissioner furnishing the opinion of the Government Advocate in the matter as suggested by him

B
25.6.36.

GEN. & REV. SECRET.
133
11. JUN. 1936

No. C 1611/35-36 Copy & Encs

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE/COMMISSIONER & Muzrai IN MYSORE,

Camp Mysore,

Dated ~~Bangalore~~ 5th June ..... 1936.

Hug 207-35-5

FROM

IMMEDIATE.

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
Muzarai
Camp Mysore.

To M. Venkatesha Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Departments, ~~Bangalore~~
Camp Mysore.

SIR,

Legacies bequeathed by the late Mr. Madapati Venkateswara Rao Pantulu of Nazvid.

Referring to correspondence ending with your letter No. 1299/Muz.207-35-4 dated the 16th March 1936 regarding the above, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 4724/35 dated the 28th May 1936 together with its enclosures from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District stating that a petition for appeal to the Privy Council has been filed by the authorities of the George Coronation Girls' School and the National College authorities in the High Court of Madras impleading the Officer-in-charge of the Sringeri Matha and Jahgir as the 12th Defendant and requesting sanction to engage the services of Mr. M. Subbaraya Iyer, Advocate to oppose the application.

2. Kind reference is invited to the opinion given by the Government Advocate on 6th March 1936 stating, among other matters, that if the Girl's School and the National College appeal to the Privy Council impeaching the conduct of the Mutt's former Agent and really succed in having the compromise set aside, the Mutt will have the benefit of it without further trouble and expense. In view of this, it has to be considered whether it is necessary to oppose the petition for appeal to Privy Council as by such a course of action the Matha will be denying itself the benefit which it would derive if the appeal to the Privy Council succeeds. It seems to be enough to engage the services of a Counsel to simply watch the proceedings on behalf of the Matha. I request

request you to kindly consider the
matter again and favour me with early intimation
comes on for hearing on 20th July 1936.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Muzrai Commissioner.

letter No. 4724/35 dated the 28th May 1936 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Madapati Venkateswara Rao's Legacies compromise decree passed by the High Court of Judicature of Madras.

I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No. 560 Mis. dated 17-5-1936 regarding the above from the Officer in charge Sringeri, together with its enclosures stating that a petition has been filed in the High Court praying for a certificate to appeal to His Majesty in Council from the compromise decree passed b the High Court. It is seen that His Holiness has been made a party and an affidavit has been filed to represent him by the Officer-in-charge.

In view of the Government Advocate's opinion received with your memo No. D.1-Dis 268/35-36 dated 23-3-1936 it seems necessary to oppose the application and I request you to kindly obtain sanction of Government to engage the services of Mr. M. Subraya Iyer, Advocate, Madras for the purpose.

The enclosures may be returned when done with.

" C o p y "

M N Anandaram

a Muzrai
For Revenue/Commissioner.

SRD/5-6-36.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 1762/Ry207-35=1 *Dated, Bangalore,* 24th June 1936.

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir, Legacies bequeathed by the late Mr.Madapati Venkateswara Rao Pantalu of Nazvid.

With reference to your letter No.C.1611/35-36 dated 8th June 1936 on the subject referred to above, I am directed to forward herewith the opinion of the Government Advocate and to request that necessary further action may be taken accordingly. The enclosures to your letter under advertence are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Government.

S.R.3/Enc.2

FG—1]

T .....

.....

.....

3 Cillr

Diary No. of letter replied to ....1331.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

tne *193*

Date of issue 24th June 1936

Signed by M.V.D.G.S.

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Section Mun

File No. 207-38

Serial No. 6

No. 1762

Endorsed

To

The M.R.C

~~Salpeter~~ Legacies bequeathed by the late Mr Nagapati Venkateswara Rao Pantulu of Nagpur.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C 16 M/35-36 dt 8/6/36 on the above subject I am directed to forward herewith the opinion of the G.P. which was consulted in the matter and opined that as the Sri Swamy Mutt is not in the above

any

is no need of the Services

of an for me Time

for conducting case & then

a watching ref hereto

given to my age dgr.

Advocate, Madr, to me

he may be able to furnish

to the Court the

hearing & in case any

information wanted by

Ct. I am to request that

and to request that

necessary further action may

be taken accordingly. The enclosures

to your letter may be returned to this office

Sd/ 19/6/36

For Sec to Govt

Govt